



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

MEH:tj
Docket No: 3510-99
17 August 1999

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 17 August 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CMC Memorandum 1001/1 MMEA-6, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
3280 RUSSELL ROAD
QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103

IN REPLY REFER TO:
1001/1
MMEA-6

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF
NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: BCNR DOCKET NO. 03510-99 CASE OF STAFF SERGEANT [REDACTED]
PC [REDACTED] USMC

1. We have carefully reviewed Staff Sergeant [REDACTED]'s case and recommend that his request for an entitlement to a zone B multiple of 2, Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB) be denied.
2. Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] requested a 48 month reenlistment on 5 March 1999, and was approved for a 48 month reenlistment on 11 March 1999. His expiration of active service (EAS) was 15 April 1999. He executed this reenlistment authority on 25 March 1999 updating his EAS to 24 March 2003. At the time of his reenlistment, Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] was a Sergeant and was eligible for a zone B multiple in his primary military occupational specialty (PMOS) of 2531, however no bonus was authorized for PMOS 2531. Therefore, Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] did not receive a bonus.
3. Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] was promoted to his present grade on 1 June 1999. With this promotion, his PMOS changed from 2531 to 2537. He contends that since he had been selected for Staff Sergeant at the time of his reenlistment, he should have received a SRB for PMOS of 2537. Unfortunately, Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] executed his reenlistment authority on 24 March 1999, as a Sergeant in PMOS 2531. No bonus was authorized. Selective Reenlistment Bonus eligibility is dedicated to the PMOS held on the date the reenlistment contract is executed.
4. Point of contact is Captain M. P. Cody, DSN 278-9238.

M. W. VANOUS
LIEUTENANT COLONEL, U.S. MARINE CORPS
ASSISTANT HEAD, ENLISTED ASSIGNMENT BRANCH
BY DIRECTION OF THE COMMANDANT OF THE MARINE CORPS