



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TJR
Docket No: 8322-98
18 May 1999

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 11 May 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found you enlisted in the Marine Corps on 14 April 1971 at the age of 18. Your record shows that you served for a year and three months without incident but on 31 Jul 1972 you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for failure to obey a lawful order. The punishment imposed was restriction for 14 days, extra duty for seven days, and forfeitures totalling \$50. On 17 December 1972 you received NJP for absence from your appointed place of duty. The punishment imposed was restriction for seven days. Shortly thereafter, on 7 February and again on 10 March 1973, you received NJP for two incidents of unauthorized absence totalling three days and failure to obey a lawful order. On 3 September 1973 you received your fifth NJP for three incidents of failure to obey a lawful order and failure to go to your appointed place of duty. The punishment imposed was forfeitures totally \$90 and restriction and extra duty for 14 days.

Your record further reflects that on 20 December 1973 you submitted a written request for an undesirable discharge in order to avoid trial by court-martial for three incidents of UA totalling 64 days. Your record shows that prior to submitting

this request, you conferred with a qualified military lawyer at which time you were advised of your rights and warned of the probable adverse consequences of accepting such a discharge. Subsequently, your request was granted and your commanding officer was directed to issue you an undesirable discharge by reason of the good of the service. As a result of this action, you were spared the stigma of a court-martial conviction and the potential penalties of a punitive discharge and confinement at hard labor. On 30 January 1974 you were issued an other than honorable discharge.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully considered all mitigating factors, such as your youth and immaturity, and your contention that you would like your discharge upgraded because you need a chance to advance in your career and improve your life. The Board further considered your contentions that you were sent overseas twice within a 60 day period. However, the Board found the evidence and materials submitted were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given seriousness of your misconduct, especially your frequent periods of UA, and your request for discharge to avoid trial for these offenses. The Board believed that considerable clemency was extended to you when your request for discharge to avoid trial by court-martial was approved since, by this action, you escaped the possibility of confinement at hard labor and a punitive discharge. Further, the Board concluded that you received the benefit of your bargain with the Marine Corps when your request for discharge was granted and should not be permitted to change it now. Given all the circumstances of your case the Board concluded your discharge was proper as issued and no change is warranted. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director