DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL
5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE
MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

IN REPLY REFER TO
1160
PERS-815
21 Jun 99

MEMORANDUM FOR CHAIRMAN, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
Via: PERS-OOZCB

Subj: BCNR PETITION ICO [redacted] USN, [redacted]

Ref: (a) SNM's DD Form 149 dtd 29 Mar 99
(b) NAVADMIN 253/98
(c) NAVADMIN 132/99
(d) OPNAVINST 1160.6A

Encl: (1) BCNR File

1. In response to reference (a), recommend a disapproval to petitioner's request.

- Petitioner executed a 29 month extension on 08 Jul 98 to obliserve for Bupers orders. Petitioner's EAOS at the time was 02 Jun 99.

- Reference (b), released on 20 Nov 98 with effective date of 01 Dec 98, did not carry a zone "C" SRB entitlement for the AT(0000) the day petitioner signed the 29 month extension.

- Petitioner requests to cancel the 29 month extension of 08 Jul 98 and allow him to reenlist on 15 Apr 1999 and receive the zone "C" SRB entitlement without monetary loss of SRB entitlement. However, reference (b) was in effect at the time petitioner requests to effect the reenlistment date.

- Petitioner reenlisted on 02 Jun 1999 for six years and received 59 months of a zone "C" SRB entitlement of for the AT(0000) offered in reference (c). Reference (c) was released on 30 Apr 1999 with an effective date of 01 May 1999.

- Petitioner received his zone "C" SRB entitlement paid out to his 16 year point of eligibility. Per reference (d) zone "C" eligibility is from 10 years active service to 14 years and pays up to the 16 year point.

2. In view of the above, recommend petitioner record remain as is.

3. This is an advisory memorandum to reference (a) for use by the Board for Correction of Naval Records (BCNR) only. Enclosure (1) is returned.

S. R. CHRISTY
Head
Reenlistment Incentives Branch

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

MEH:tj
Docket No: 2246-99
7 July 1999

[redacted]

[redacted]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 July 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by BUPERS Memorandum 1160 PERS-815 of 21 June 1999, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director

Enclosure